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1. Bayes Theorem - adding information

- Gaussian PDFs

2. Simplest possible Bayesian NWP analysis

- Two gridpoints, one observation.

3. Issues in practical implementation

- Modelling/representing prior background error covariances B
- Solving the large matrix equation
- Estimating B.

4. Predicting the prior PDF

- a Bayesian view of 4D-Var v Ensemble KF
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## Bayes Theorem - adding information

## Gaussian PDFs

(Non-Gaussian observational errors - Quality Control will be covered in another lecture.)

## Bayes' Theorem for Discrete Events

## Met Office

$A B$
$P(A)$
$P(A \cap B)$
$P(A \mid B)$

## events

probability of $A$ occurring, or
knowledge about $A$ 's past occurrence probability that $A$ and $B$ both occur, conditional probability of $A$ given $B$

We have two ways of expressing $P(A \cap B)$ :

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(B) P(A \mid B)=P(A) P(B \mid A)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Bayes' Theorem: $\quad P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(B \mid A) P(A)}{P(B)}$
Can calculate $P(B)$ from: $P(B)=P(B \mid A) P(A)+P(B \mid \bar{A}) P(\bar{A})$

Met Office

## Bayes theorem in continuous form,

 to estimate a value $x$ given an observation $y^{o}$$p\left(x \mid y^{o}\right)=\frac{p\left(y^{o} \mid x\right) p(x)}{p\left(y^{o}\right)}$
$p\left(x \mid y^{o}\right) \quad$ is the posterior distribution,
$p(x) \quad$ is the prior distribution,
$p\left(y^{o} \mid x\right) \quad$ is the likelihood function for $x$

Can get $p\left(y^{o}\right)$ by integrating over all $x: \quad p\left(y^{o}\right)=\int p\left(y^{o} \mid x\right) p(x) d x$

## Assume Gaussian pdfs

## Met Office

Prior is Gaussian with mean $x^{b}$, variance $V_{b}: \quad x \sim N\left(x^{b}, V_{b}\right)$

$$
p(x)=\left(2 \pi V_{b}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(x-x^{b}\right)^{2}}{V_{b}}\right)
$$

Ob $y^{o}$, Gaussian about true value $x$ variance $V_{o}: y^{o} \sim N\left(x, V_{o}\right)$

$$
p\left(y^{o} \mid x\right)=\left(2 \pi V_{o}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(y^{o}-x\right)^{2}}{V_{o}}\right)
$$

Substituting gives a Gaussian posterior:

$$
x \sim N\left(x^{a}, V_{a}\right)
$$

$$
p(x)=\left(2 \pi V_{a}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(x-x^{a}\right)^{2}}{V_{a}}\right)
$$

## Advantages of Gaussian assumption <br> \section*{Met Office}

1. Best estimate is a found by solving linear equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{V_{a}}=\frac{1}{V_{o}}+\frac{1}{V_{b}} \quad \quad \frac{1}{V_{a}} x^{a}=\frac{1}{V_{o}} y^{o}+\frac{1}{V_{b}} x^{b} \\
& p(x)=\left(2 \pi V_{a}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(x-x^{a}\right)^{2}}{V_{a}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking logs gives quadratic equation; differentiating to find extremum gives linear equation.
2. Best estimate is a function of values \& [co-]variances only.

Often these are all we know.
3. Weights are independent of values.

## Combination of Gaussian prior \& observation - Gaussian posterior, <br> Metoffice - weights independent of values.



```
prior x ~ N(0,3)
likelihood p(yo|x) ~ N(7,1)
posterior x ~ N(5.25,0.75)
```



```
prior x ~ N(0,3)
```

likelihood $p(y o \mid x) \sim N(5,1)$
posterior $x \sim N(3.75,0.75)$


```
prior x ~ N(0,3)
likelihood p(yo|x) ~ N(9,1)
posterior x ~ N(6.75,0.75)
```


## Variational Penalty Functions

## Met Office

- Finding the most probable posterior value involves maximising a product [of Gaussians]
- By taking -ln of the posterior PDF, we can instead minimise a sum [of quadratics]
- This is often called the "Penalty Function" $J$
- Additive constants can be ignored
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## Penalty functions: $J(x)=-\ln (p(x))+\mathrm{C}$ $p$ Gaussian $\Rightarrow J$ quadratic




## Simplest possible Bayesian NWP analysis

## Simplest possible example - 2 grid-points, 1 observation. Standard notation:

Met Office
Ide, K., Courtier, P., Ghil, M., and Lorenc, A.C. 1997: "Unified notation for data assimilation: Operational, Sequential and Variational" J. Met. Soc. Japan, Special issue "Data Assimilation in Meteorology and Oceanography: Theory and Practice." 75, No. 1B, 181—189

Model is two grid points:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}
$$

1 observed value $y^{o}$ midway (but use notation for $>1$ ): $\quad \mathbf{y}^{o}=\left(y^{o}\right)$

Can interpolate an estimate $y$ of the observed value:

$$
\mathbf{y}=H(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2} x_{1}+\frac{1}{2} x_{2}=\mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}
$$

This example $H$ is linear, so we can use matrix notation for fields as well as increments.

## background pdf

## Met Office

We have prior estimate $x^{b}{ }_{1}$ with error variance $V_{b}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(2 \pi V_{b}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{b}\right)^{2} / V_{b}\right) \\
& p\left(x_{2}\right)=\left(2 \pi V_{b}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{b}\right)^{2} / V_{b}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But errors in $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are usually correlated $\Rightarrow$ must use a multi-dimensional Gaussian:

$$
\mathbf{x} \sim N\left(\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{x}^{b}, \mathbf{B}\right)
$$

$$
p\left(x_{1} \cap x_{2}\right)=p(\mathbf{x})=\left((2 \pi)^{2}|\mathbf{B}|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is the covariance matrix: $\quad \mathbf{B}=V_{b}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \mu \\ \mu & 1\end{array}\right)$


## Observational errors

Met Office Lorenc, A.C. 1986: "Analysis methods for numerical weather prediction." Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc., 112, 1177-1194.
instrumental error

$$
\mathbf{y}^{o} \sim N\left(\mathbf{y}^{t}, \mathbf{E}\right)
$$

$$
p\left(\mathbf{y}^{o} \mid \mathbf{y}\right)=(2 \pi \mid \mathbf{E})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-\mathbf{y}\right)^{T} \mathbf{E}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-\mathbf{y}\right)\right)
$$

error of representativeness $\quad \mathbf{y} \sim N\left(H\left(\mathbf{x}^{t}\right), \mathbf{F}\right)$

$$
p_{t}\left(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}^{t}\right)=(2 \pi|\mathbf{F}|)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{y}-H\left(\mathbf{x}^{t}\right)\right)^{T} \mathbf{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}-H\left(\mathbf{x}^{t}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Observational error

$$
\mathbf{y}^{o} \sim N\left(H\left(\mathbf{x}^{t}\right), \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F}\right)
$$ combines these 2 :

$$
=(2 \pi|\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F}|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-H\left(\mathbf{x}^{t}\right)\right)^{T}(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F})^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-H\left(\mathbf{x}^{t}\right)\right)\right)
$$

## Met Office

## background pdf obs likelihood function



## Bayesian analysis equation

Met Office

$$
p\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y}^{o}\right)=\frac{p\left(\mathbf{y}^{o} \mid \mathbf{x}\right) p(\mathbf{x})}{p\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}\right)}
$$

Property of Gaussians that, if $H$ is linearisable : $\quad \mathbf{x} \sim N\left(\mathbf{x}^{a}, \mathbf{A}\right)$
where $\boldsymbol{x}^{a}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ are defined by: $\quad \mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{B}^{-1}+\mathbf{H}^{T}(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F})^{-1} \mathbf{H}$

$$
\mathbf{x}^{a}=\mathbf{x}^{b}+\mathbf{A} \mathbf{H}^{T}(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F})^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-H\left(\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)\right)
$$

## Met Office



## Analysis equation

## Met Office

For our simple example the algebra is easily done by hand, without manipulating matrices, giving:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{a}=\binom{x_{1}^{a}}{x_{2}^{a}}=\binom{x_{1}^{b}}{x_{2}^{b}}+\frac{\left(V^{b}\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F}+V^{b}\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right)}\left[y^{o}-\frac{x_{1}^{b}+x_{2}^{b}}{2}\right]\binom{1}{1}
$$
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# Practical implementation of the Bayesian Analysis Equation 

# Issues in practical implementation "The devil is in the details" 

Met Office
There are significantly different choices possible for each of the following options. The combinations of these choices make up a very wide range and large number of analysis schemes, all implementing the same Bayesian equation!

- Modelling and representing prior background error covariances B.
- Expressing the equations in a form amenable to solution.
- Computing the solution.
- Estimating B.


## Michael Ghil on OI \& Kalman Filter
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```
Hapeduted Term PEuptice MoIme: P1-th
```



```
tar, 2-5, 7gl, vontethe, Gulif, Pulitahed
```



```
Boscm, blect;
```
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## Modelling and representing prior background error covariances $\mathbf{B}$.

- Explicit point-point [multivariate] covariance functions.
- Transformed control variables to deal with inter-variable covariances.
- Vertical - horizontal split
- EOF decomposition into modes.
- Spectral decomposition into waves.
- Wavelets.

- Recursive filters or diffusion operators to give local variations.
- Ensemble members.



## Schlatter's (1975) multivariate
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Fig. 3. Correlations among the variables $h$, $u$, and $v$ based upon the expression $\mu=0.95 \exp \left(-1.24 s^{4}\right)$ for height-height correlation and the geostrophic relations. Diagrams centered at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Tick marks 500 km apart,

## Transformed control variable.
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- Look for a "balanced" variable from which we can calculate balanced flow in all variables: streamfunction, PV.
- Define transforms from (U) or to (T) this variable and a residual variable, which by construction/hypothesis is uncorrelated making B block diagonal. (Compare EOFs)
$\mathbf{B}_{(\mathrm{v})}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{B}_{(\mathrm{v})} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{B}_{(\mathrm{x})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{B}_{(\mathrm{b})}\end{array}\right)$
$\mathbf{B}_{(\mathrm{x})}=\mathbf{U}_{p} \mathbf{B}_{(v)} \mathbf{U}_{p}{ }^{T}$
- Transformed variables still need spatial covariance model, but not multivariate. (Further transforms may be used to represent these.)


## Comparison of covariance models



Correction to p based on a u observation at same level




Adam Clayton

## Equations - all equivalent.
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- Variational $\mathbf{x}^{a}$ minimises $\mathrm{J}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-H(\mathbf{x})\right)^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-H(\mathbf{x})\right)$

$$
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} J}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}}\right)=\mathbf{B}^{-1}+\mathbf{H}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}
$$

- Kalman Filter. Kalman Gain=K.

$$
\mathbf{x}^{a}=\mathbf{x}^{b}+\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-H\left(\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)\right)
$$

$\mathbf{A}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \mathbf{B}$

- Observation space

$$
\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{B H}^{T}\left(\mathbf{H B H}^{T}+\mathbf{R}\right)^{-1}
$$

Demonstrate equivalence using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

- Model space

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left(\mathbf{H}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}+\mathbf{B}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{Z}^{f}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{f}\right)^{T}
$$

- Ensemble space Square-root Filters, e.g. ETKF

$$
\mathbf{Z}^{a}=\mathbf{Z}^{f} \mathbf{T}
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{Z}^{a}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{a}\right)^{T}
$$
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## Estimating PDFs or covariances

- Even if we knew the "truth", we could never run enough experiments in the lifetime of an NWP system to estimate its error PDF, or even its error covariance B.
- Simplifying assumptions are essential (e.g. Gaussian, ...)
- Even a simplified error model has so many parameters that we cannot determine them by NWP trials to determine which give the best forecasts.
- In practice we can only measure innovations - cannot get separate estimates of $\mathbf{B} \& \mathbf{R}$ without assumptions (Talagrand).
- Need to understand physics!


# How to estimate the prior PDF? How to calculate its time evolution? 

i.e. 4D-Var Versus Ensemble KF

## Fokker-Planck Equation



Ensemble methods attempt to sample entire PDF.

## Gaussian Probability Distribution Functions

- Easier to fit to sampled errors.
- Quadratic optimisation problems, with linear solution methods - much more efficient.
- The Kalman filter is optimal for linear models, but
- it is not affordable for expensive models (despite the "easy" quadratic problem)
- it is not optimal for nonlinear models.
- Advanced methods based on the Kalman filter can be made affordable:
- Ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF, ETKF, ...)
- Four-dimensional variational assimilation (4D-Var)


## Extended Kalman Filter

$$
\mathbf{x} \text { is mean of PDF, } \mathbf{P} \text { is covariance. }
$$

## Analysis step

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{a}\left(t_{i}\right)=\mathbf{x}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right)+\mathbf{P}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right) \mathbf{H}_{i}{ }^{T}\left(\mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{P}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right) \mathbf{H}_{i}^{T}+\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{o}-H_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \mathbf{P}^{a}\left(t_{i}\right)=\mathbf{P}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right)-\mathbf{P}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right) \mathbf{H}_{i}^{T}\left(\mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{P}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right) \mathbf{H}_{i}^{T}+\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{P}^{f}\left(t_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Forecast step

$$
\mathbf{x}^{f}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=M_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{a}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)
$$

True discretised dynamics $\mathbf{x}^{t}$ assumed to differ by stochastic perturbations:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{t}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=M_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{t}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)+\boldsymbol{\eta}\left(t_{i}\right)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a noise process with zero mean and covariance matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{i}$.

$$
\mathbf{P}^{f}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=\mathbf{M}_{i} \mathbf{P}^{a}\left(t_{i}\right) \mathbf{M}_{i}{ }^{T}+\mathbf{Q}_{i}
$$

## Ensemble Kalman filter



Fit Gaussian to forecast ensemble.

## The Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF)

Construct an ensemble $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{f}\right\},(i=1, \ldots, N)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{P}^{f}=\mathbf{P}_{e}^{f}=\overline{\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}-\overline{\mathbf{x}^{f}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}-\overline{\mathbf{x}^{f}}\right)^{T}}, \\
\mathbf{P}^{f} \mathbf{H}^{T}=\overline{\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}-\overline{\mathbf{x}^{f}}\right)\left(H\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}\right)-\overline{H\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}\right)}\right)^{T}}, \\
\mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}^{f} \mathbf{H}^{T}=\overline{\left(H\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}\right)-\overline{H\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}\right)}\right)\left(H\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}\right)-\overline{H\left(\mathbf{x}^{f}\right)}\right)^{T}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Use these in the standard KF equation to update the best estimate (ensemble mean):

$$
\overline{\mathbf{x}^{a}}=\overline{\mathbf{x}^{f}}+\mathbf{P}^{f} \mathbf{H}^{T}\left(\mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}^{f} \mathbf{H}^{T}+\mathbf{R}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{y}^{o}-H\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}^{f}}\right)\right) .
$$

## Deterministic 4D-Var

## Met Office



Initial PDF is approximated by a Gaussian.
Descent algorithm only explores a small part of the PDF, on the way to a local minimum.

Simple 4D-Var, as a least-squares best fit of a deterministic model trajectory to observations

## Met Office



## Assumptions in deriving deterministic 4D-Var
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Bayes Theorem - posterior PDF: $\quad P\left(x \mid y^{0}\right)=P\left(y^{0} \mid x\right) P(x) / P\left(y^{0}\right)$
where the obs likelihood function is given by:

$$
P\left(y^{0} \mid x\right)=f\left(y^{0}-y\right) \text {, where } y=H(x)
$$

Impossible to evaluate the integrals necessary to find "best".

Instead assume best $x$ maximises PDF, and

$$
J(x)=-\ln \left[P\left(y^{0} \mid x\right)\right]-\ln [P(x)]
$$ minimises - $\operatorname{In}(P D F)$ :

Purser, R.J. 1984: "A new approach to the optimal assimilation of meteorological data by iterative Bayesian analysis".
Preprints, 10th conference on weather forecasting and analysis. Am Met Soc. 102-105
Lorenc, A.C. 1986: "Analysis methods for numerical weather prediction." Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc., 112, 1177-1194.
© Crown copyright Met Office

## The deterministic 4D-Var equations

## Met Office

$P\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right) \propto P(\mathbf{x}) P\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o} \mid \mathbf{x}\right) \quad$ Bayesian posterior pdf.

Assume

$$
P(\mathbf{x}) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)\right)
$$

Gaussians

$$
P\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o} \mid \mathbf{x}\right)=P\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}^{o}} \mid \underline{\mathbf{y}}\right) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)^{T} \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)\right)
$$

But nonlinear model makes pdf non-Gaussian: full pdf is too complicated to be allowed for.

$$
\underline{\mathbf{y}}=\underline{H}(\underline{M}(\mathbf{x}))
$$

$\begin{aligned} & \text { So seek mode of pdf by } \\ & \text { finding minimum of }\end{aligned}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)^{T} \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)$ penalty function

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} J(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{b}\right)+\underline{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \underline{\mathbf{H}}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)
$$

## Statistical, incremental 4D-Var

## Met Office



Statistical 4D-Var approximates entire PDF by a Gaussian.

## Statistical 4D-Var - equations
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 Independent, Gaussian$$
P\left(\delta \mathbf{x}, \delta \underline{\underline{\eta}} \mid \underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \mathbf{x}-\left(\mathbf{x}^{b}-\mathbf{x}^{g}\right)\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\delta \mathbf{x}-\left(\mathbf{x}^{b}-\mathbf{x}^{g}\right)\right)\right)
$$ background and model errors $\Rightarrow$ non-Gaussian pdf

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \underline{\mathbf{\eta}}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{\mathbf{g}}\right)^{T} \underline{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\left(\delta \underline{\mathbf{\eta}}+\underline{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\boldsymbol{g}}\right)\right) \\
& \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)^{T} \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Incremental linear approximations in forecasting model predictions of observed values converts this to

$$
\underline{\mathbf{y}}=\underline{\mathbf{H}^{0}} \underline{\mathrm{M}}_{\underline{0}}^{0}(\delta \mathbf{x}, \underline{\mathbf{\eta}})+\underline{\bar{H}}\left(\underline{\bar{M}}\left(\mathbf{x}^{g}, \underline{\mathbf{\eta}}^{g}\right)\right)
$$ an approximate Gaussian pdf:

The mean of this approximate pdf $J(\delta \mathbf{x}, \delta \underline{\eta})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \mathbf{x}-\left(\mathbf{x}^{b}-\mathbf{x}^{g}\right)\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(\delta \mathbf{x}-\left(\mathbf{x}^{b}-\mathbf{x}^{g}\right)\right)$ is identical to the mode, so it can

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \underline{\mathbf{\eta}}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{g}\right)^{T} \underline{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\left(\delta \underline{\mathbf{\eta}}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{g}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)^{T} \underline{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}-\underline{\mathbf{y}}^{o}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Questions and answers

